

ON THE POLYCONVOLUTION WITH THE WEIGHT FUNCTION $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ OF HARTLEY INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS H_1, H_2, H_1 AND INTEGRAL EQUATION

ĐA CHẬP VỚI HÀM TRỌNG $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ CỦA CÁC PHÉP BIẾN ĐỔI HARTLEY H_1, H_2, H_1 VÀ PHƯƠNG TRÌNH TÍCH PHÂN

Nguyen Minh Khoa
Electric Power University

ASBTRACT: In this article, we introduce and investigate a new polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ of Hartley integral transforms H_1, H_2, H_1 . Several algebraic properties and applications of this polyconvolution to solving a class of integral equation of Toeplitz plus hankel type and a class of systems of integral equations are presented.

Keywords: Integral equation, convolution, generalized convolution, polyconvolution, Hartley integral transforms.

TÓM TẮT: Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi giới thiệu và nghiên cứu một đa chập mới với hàm trọng $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ của các phép biến đổi tích phân Hartley H_1, H_2, H_1 . Một số tính chất đại số và ứng dụng của đa tích chập này để giải một loại phương trình tích phân của kiểu Toeplitz cộng với hankel và hệ phương trình tích phân được trình bày.

Từ khóa: Phương trình tích phân, tích chập, tích chập suy rộng, đa chập, phép biến đổi tích phân Hartley.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of polyconvolution was first proposed by Kakichev in 1997 [7]. According to this definition, the polyconvolution for $n+1$ arbitrary integral transforms $K, K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$ with the weight function $\gamma(y)$ of functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ satisfies the following factorization property

$$K \left[\gamma_*(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \right] (y) = \gamma(y)(K_1 f_1)(y) \dots (K_n f_n)(y).$$

In recent time, there were some polyconvolution [11, 12] related to the Hartley integral transforms and some differential integral transforms. At the same time, there were some

polyconvolutions [8, 9] only related to the Hartley integral transforms.

In this article, we expand to construct and investigate the new polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ related to Hartley integral transforms H_1, H_2, H_1 . We will apply this new polyconvolution to solving some non-standard integral equations and systems of integral equation. We realize that for such integral equations, a representation of their solutions in a closed form is interesting and open problem [6].

In this section, we recall some known convolutions, generalized convolutions.

The Hartley integral transforms H_1, H_2 were introduced in [3]

$$(Hf)_{\{1\}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \operatorname{cas}(\pm xy) dy, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Here $\operatorname{cas}(\pm\theta) = \cos\theta \pm \sin\theta$.

The convolution for the Hartley integral [4, 5].

$$(f_{H_1}^* g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) [g(x+u) + g(x-u) + g(u-x) - g(-x-u)] du \quad (1.1)$$

Satisfies the factorization property

$$H_1(f *_{H_1} g)(y) = (H_1 f)(y) * (H_1 g)(y). \quad (1.2)$$

The generalized convolution for the Hartley integral transform [2]

$$(f_{H_1, H_1, H_2}^* g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f(x+y) + f(x-y) - f(-x+y) + f(-x-y)] g(y) dy, \quad (1.3)$$

satisfies the factorization property

$$H_1(f *_{H_1, H_1, H_2} g)(y) = (H_1 f)(y) (H_2 g)(y). \quad (1.4)$$

2. POLYCONVOLUTION WITH THE WEIGHT FUNCTION $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ FOR HARTLEY INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS H_1, H_2, H_1

Definition 2.1. The polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ for Hartley integral transforms H_1, H_2, H_1 of the functions f, g and h is defined as follow

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\gamma_*(f, g, h) \right](x) = & \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [-f(-x-v-w-1) - f(-x+v+w-1) + f(-x+v+w+1) \\ & + f(-x-v-w+1) + f(x-v-w-1) - f(x+v-w-1) - f(x-v-w+1) + f(x+v-w+1)] \\ & g(v) h(w) dv dw. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.2. Let f, g and $h \in L(R)$. Then the polyconvolution with the weight

function $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ (2.1) for the Hartley integral transforms H_1, H_2, H_1 of the functions $f, g, h \in L(\mathbb{R})$. and the factorization identity holds

$$H_1 \left[\gamma_*(f, g, h) \right](y) = \sin(y) (H_1 f)(y) (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y), \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. First of all, we prove that $\left[\gamma_*(f, g, h) \right](x) \in L(\mathbb{R})$ Indeed, we have estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(f, g, h) |dx| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)| dw \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|f(-x-v-w-1)| + |f(-x+v+w-1)| \\ & + |f(-x+v+w+1)| + |f(-x-v-w+1)| + |f(x-v-w-1)| + |f(x+v-w-1)| \\ & + |f(-x-v+w+1)| + |f(x+v-w+1)|] dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(f, g, h) |dx| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)| dw \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|f(-x-v-w-1)| + |f(-x+v+w-1)| \\ & + |f(-x+v+w+1)| + |f(-x-v-w+1)| + |f(x-v-w-1)| + |f(x+v-w-1)| \\ & + |f(-x-v+w+1)| + |f(x+v-w+1)|] dx = 8 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

For this reason, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \gamma_*(f, g, h)(x) \right| dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)| dw \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt \\ & < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\left[{}^Y_* (f, g, h) \right] (x) \in L(\mathbb{R})$. Now

we prove the factorization identity (2.2).

Since

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin y (H_1 f)(y) (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y) \sin(y) \operatorname{cas}(yu) \operatorname{cas}(-yv) \operatorname{cas}(yw) (fu) g(v) h(w) du dv dw$$

and using the trigonometric identity,

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin y \operatorname{cas}(yu) \operatorname{cas}(-yv) \operatorname{cas}(yw) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [-\operatorname{casy}(u - v - w - 1) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{casy}(-u + v + w - 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(-u + v + w + 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(-u - v - w + 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(u + v - w + 1) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{casy}((u - v + w + 1) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{casy}(u + v - w - 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(-u + v + w + 1)] \end{aligned}$$

That

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin y (H_1 f)(y) (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [-\operatorname{casy}(u - v - w \\ & \quad - 1) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{casy}(-u + v + w - 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(-u + v + w + 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(-u - v - w + 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(u + v - w + 1) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{casy}((u - v + w + 1) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{casy}(u + v - w - 1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{casy}(u - v + w \\ & \quad - 1)] f(u) g(v) h(w) du dv dw \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\operatorname{cas}(yt) [-f(-t \\ & \quad - v - w - 1) \\ & \quad - f(-t + v + w - 1) \\ & \quad + f(-t + v + w + 1) \\ & \quad + f(-t - v - w + 1) \\ & \quad + f(t - v + w + 1) \\ & \quad - f(t + v - w - 1) \\ & \quad - f(t - v + w + 1) \\ & \quad + f(t + v - w \\ & \quad + 1)] g(v) h(w) dt dv dw. \end{aligned}$$

$$= H_1 \left[{}^Y_* (f, g, h) \right] (y), \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 2.2 is proved.

Lemma 2.3. In the space $L(\mathbb{R})$ the polyconvolution (2.1) has following equality

$$[{}^Y_* (f, g, h)](x) = [{}^Y_* (h, g, f)](x).$$

Proof. Indeed, from Theorem 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & H \left[{}^Y_* (f, g, h) \right] (y) \\ &= \sin(y) (H_1 f)(y) (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y) \\ &= \sin y (H_1 h)(y) (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 f)(y) \\ &= H \left[{}^Y_* (f, g, h) \right] (y), \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$[{}^Y_* (f, g, h)](x) = [{}^Y_* (h, g, f)](x).$$

In the sequel, we define the norm in the space $L(\mathbb{R})$ as follow

$$\|f\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx.$$

Theorem 2.4. If f, g and h belong to $L(\mathbb{R})$, then the following inequality holds

$$\|{}^Y_* (f, g, h)\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\| \cdot \|h\|.$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 2.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \gamma_*(f, g, h)(x) \right| dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)| dw \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| dv \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)| dw.
\end{aligned}$$

So $|\gamma_*(f, g, h)| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\| \cdot \|h\|$. The proof is completed.

Theorem 2.4. Let $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $h \in L_q(\mathbb{R})$, and $f \in L_r(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p, q, r > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 2$. Then the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \gamma_*(f, g, h) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.1), we have the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \gamma_*(f, g, h)(x) \right| \leq \\
& \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(-x - v - w - 1)| dv dw + \\
& \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(-x + v + w - 1)| dv dw + \\
& \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(-x + v + w + 1)| dv dw + \\
& \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(-x - v - w + 1)| dv dw + \\
& \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x - v + w - 1)| dv dw + \\
& \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x + v - w - 1)| dv dw + \\
& \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x - v + w + 1)| dv dw +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x + v - w + 1)| dv dw. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_7$ and I_8 be the corresponding integral terms in the above expression. Without loss of generality we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} & |g(v)| \cdot |h(w)| \cdot |f(-x - v - w - 1)| dv dw, x \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let p_1, q_1, r_1 be conjugate exponential of p, q, r and

$$B_1(u, v) = |h(w)|^{q/p_1} |f(-x - v - w - 1)|^{r/p_1} \in L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2(u, v) = & |f(-x - v - w - 1)|^{r/p_1} |g(v)|^{q/p_1} \\
& \in L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3(u, v) = & |g(w)|^{q/p_1} |h(w)|^{r/p_1} \\
& \in L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$B_1 \cdot B_2 \cdot B_3 = |g(v)| \cdot |h(w)| \cdot |f(-x - v - w - 1)|$$

Using the definition of the norm on the space $L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ along with the help of the Fubini theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_1\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{p_1} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[|h(w)|^{q/p_1} |f(-x - v - w - 1)|^{r/p_1} \right]^{p_1} dv dw \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)|^q \cdot \|f\|^r dw \\
&= \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q \cdot \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|B_2\|_{L_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{q_1} = \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r \cdot \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p$$

$$\|B_3\|_{L_{r_1}(R^2)}^{r_1} = \|fg\|_{L_p(R)}^p \cdot \|h\|_{L_q(R)}^q \quad (2.4)$$

From the hypothesis $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 2$,

it follows that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{r_1} = 1$. Using

Hölder inequality and (2.4), we have following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_1 \cdot B_2 \cdot B_3 dv dw \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_1^{p_1} dv dw \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_2^{q_1} dv dw \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\quad \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_3^{r_1} dv dw \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} = \\ &\frac{1}{8\pi} \|B_1\|_{L_{p_1(p^2)}}^{p_1} \cdot \|B_2\|_{L_{q_1(q^2)}}^{q_1} \cdot \|B_3\|_{L_{r_1(r^2)}}^{r_1} = \\ &\frac{1}{8\pi} \|g\|_{L_{p_1(p)}}^{p_1} \cdot \|h\|_{L_{q_1(q)}}^{q_1} \cdot \|f\|_{L_{r_1(r)}}^{r_1} \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have the following estimations for $I_2, I_3, \dots, I_7$, and I_8 :

$$I_k \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|g\|_{L_{p_1(p)}}^{p_1} \cdot \|h\|_{L_{q_1(q)}}^{q_1} \cdot \|f\|_{L_{r_1(r)}}^{r_1} \quad 2.5$$

for all $k = 2, 3, \dots, 8$. Furthermore (2.3)-(2.5), we get

$$\|*_*(f, g, h)\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|g\|_{L_{p(p)}}^p \cdot \|h\|_{L_{q(q)}}^q \cdot \|f\|_{L_{r(r)}}^r$$

Theorem 2.5. (Titchmarsh - type Theorem)

Let $f, g, h \in L(\mathbb{R})$. If $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, [*_*(f, g, h)](x) \equiv 0$, then either $f(x) = 0$, or $g(x) = 0$, or $h(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The hypothesis $[*_*(f, g, h)](x) \equiv 0$, implies that $H[*_*(f, g, h)](y) = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$

Due to Theorem (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sin y (H_1 f)(y) (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y) \\ = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

As $(H_1 f)(y), (H_2 g)(y), (H_1 h)(y)$ are analytic $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ (2.6) implies that $\forall y \in \mathbb{R} (H_1 f) = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ or $(H_2 g) = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$ or $(H_1 h) = 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that either $f(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $g(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $h(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. APPLICATION TO SOLVING AN INTEGRAL EQUATION AND SYSTEM OF POLYCONVOLUTION TYPE

3.1 A single integral equation

In this subsection we apply the obtained result in solving an integral equation of polyconvolution type. To deal with this equation, we prove the existence of a solution as well as express it in closed form. We examine the following integral equation.1

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [-f(-x-v-w-1) - f(-x+v+w-1) + f(-x+v+w+1) + f(-x-v-w+1) + f(x-v+w-1) - f(x+v-w-1) - f(x-v-w+1) + f(x+v-w+1)] g(v) h(w) dv dw = \\ k(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

Here g, f , and k are functions of $L(\mathbb{R})$, f is an unknown function.

Theorem 3.1. Let $k, g, h \in L(\mathbb{R})$. be given. Equation (3.1) has a unique solution in $L(\mathbb{R})$ if $1 + \sin y (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y) \neq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. The solution of $f(x)$ is defined by: $f(x) = k(x) - (k_{H_1}^* l)(x)$. Here $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ and it is determined by:

$$(H_1 l)(y) = \frac{\sin y (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y)}{1 + \sin y (H_2 g)(y) (H_1 h)(y)}.$$

The equation (3.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$f(x) + [{}^{\gamma}_{*}(f, g, h)](x) = k(x)$$

Due to Theorem (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (H_1 f)(y) \\ & + \sin y(H_1 g)(y)(H_2 g)(y)(H_1 h)(y) \\ & = (H_1 k)(y), y \in \mathbb{R} \\ & (H_1 f)(y) \\ & + [1 + \sin y(H_2 g)(y)(H_1 h)(y)] \\ & = (H_1 k)(y), y \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

With the condition $1 + \sin y(H_2 g)(y)(H_1 h)(y) \neq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$(H_1 f)(y) = (H_1 k)(y) \left[1 - \frac{\sin y(H_2 g)(y)(H_1 h)(y)}{1 + \sin y(H_2 g)(y)(H_1 h)(y)} \right].$$

Therefore, by Wiener-Levy's theorem ([1, 8]), there exists a function $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(H_1 l)(y) = \frac{\sin y(H_2 g)(y)(H_1 h)(y)}{1 + \sin y(H_2 g)(y)(H_1 h)(y)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (H_1 f)(y) &= (H_1 k)(y) - [1 - (Hl)(y)] \\ &= (H_1 k)(y) \\ &\quad - H_1(k_{H_1}^* l)(x) \in L(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(x) = k(x) - (k_{H_1}^* l)(x) \in L(\mathbb{R})$.

3.2 A system of two integral equations of polyconvolution type

Now we consider the following system

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [-g(-x-v-w-1) - g(-x+v+w-1) + g(-x+v+w+1) + g(-x-v-w+1) + g(x-v+w-1) - g(x-v+w+1) + g(x+v-w+1)] \varphi(v) \varphi(w) dv dw = \\ & h(x) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v) [p(x+v) + p(x-v) + \end{aligned}$$

$$p(-x+v) - p(-x-v)] d(v) + g(v) = k(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.2)$$

Here φ, ψ, p, h , and k are given functions in $L(\mathbb{R})$, f and g are the unknown functions.

Theorem 3.2. Under the condition $1 - H_1[{}^{\gamma}_{*}p, \varphi, \psi](y) \neq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique solution in $L(\mathbb{R})$ of (3.2) which is defined by

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = h(x) + (h *_{H_1} l)(x) - [{}^{\gamma}_{*}(k, \varphi, \psi)](x) - [{}^{\gamma}_{*}(k, \varphi, \psi)]_{H_1}^* l(x) \\ g(x) = k(x) + (k *_{H_1} l)(x) - (h *_{H_1} p)(x) - [(h *_{H_1} p) *_{H_1} l](x). \end{cases}$$

Here $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ and defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_1 l)(y) = \frac{H_1[{}^{\gamma}_{*}(p, \varphi, \psi)](y)}{1 - H_1[{}^{\gamma}_{*}(p, \varphi, \psi)](y)}.$$

Proof. System (3.2) can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} f(x) + [{}^{\gamma}_{*}(g, \varphi, \psi)](x) = h(x) \\ (f_{H_1}^* p)(x) + g(x) = k(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

Using the factorization property of the polyconvolution (1.1) and the convolution (2.1) we get the linear system of algebraic equations with respect to $(H_1 f)(y)$ and $(H_1 g)(y)$:

$$\begin{cases} (H_1 f)(y) + \sin y(H_1 g)(y) \cdot (H_2 \varphi)(y)(H_1 \psi)(y) = (H_1 h)(y) \\ (H_1 f)(y)(H_1 p)(y) + (H_1 g)(y) = (H_1 k)(y), y \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

We calculate the determinants of the system

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \sin y(H_2 \varphi)(y)(H_1 \psi)(y) \\ (H_1 p)(y) & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1 - \sin y(H_1 p)(y)(H_2 \varphi)(y)(H_1 \psi)(y) \\ &= 1 - H_1[{}^{\gamma}_{*}(p, \varphi, \psi)](y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \begin{vmatrix} (H_1 h)(y) & \sin y(H_2 \varphi)(y)(H_1 \psi)(y) \\ (H_1 k)(y) & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (H_1 h)(y) - H_1[{}^{\gamma}_{*}(k, \varphi, \psi)](y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & (H_1 h)(y) \\ (H_1 p)(y) & (H_1 k)(y) \end{vmatrix} \\ = (H_1 k)(y) - H_1(h_{H_1}^* p)(y).$$

Since $1 - H_1[\gamma_*(p, \varphi, \psi)](y) \neq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(H_1 f)(y) = \{(H_1 h)(y) - H_1[\gamma_*(k, \varphi, \psi)](y)\} \frac{1}{1 - H_1[\gamma_*(p, \varphi, \psi)](y)} \\ = \{(H_1 h)(y) - H_1[\gamma_*(k, \varphi, \psi)](y)\} \left\{ 1 + \frac{H_1[\gamma_*(p, \varphi, \psi)](y)}{1 - H_1[\gamma_*(p, \varphi, \psi)](y)} \right\}$$

Furthermore, according to Wiener-Levy's theorem ([1, 10]), there exists a function $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(H_1 l)(y) = \frac{H_1[\gamma_*(p, \varphi, \psi)](y)}{1 - H_1[\gamma_*(p, \varphi, \psi)](y)}.$$

It follows that

$$(H_1 f)(y) = \{(H_1 h)(y) - H_1[\gamma_*(k, \varphi, \psi)](y)\} \cdot \{1 + (H_1 l)(y)\} \\ = (H_1 h)(y) + H_1(h_{H_1}^* l)(y) - H_1[\gamma_*(k, \varphi, \psi)](y) - H_1\{[\gamma_*(k, \varphi, \psi)]_{H_1}^* H_1 l\}(y).$$

So,

$$f(x) = h(x) + (h_{H_1}^* l)(x) - [\gamma_*(k, \varphi, \psi)](x) - \{[\gamma_*(k, \varphi, \psi)]_{H_1}^* H_1 l\}(x) \in L(\mathbb{R}).$$

In the same way, we get

$$(H_g)(y) = \{(H_1 k)(y) - H_1(h_{H_1}^* p)(y)\} \cdot \{1 + (H_1 l)(y)\} \\ = (H_1 k)(y) - H_1(k_{H_1}^* l)(y) - H_1[(h_{H_1}^* p)_{H_1}^* l](y).$$

It follows that

$$g(x) = k(x) + (k_{H_1}^* l)(x) - (h_{H_1}^* p)(x) - [(h_{H_1}^* p)_{H_1}^* l](x) \in L(\mathbb{R}).$$

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we presented the new polyconvolution with the weight function of three functions $\gamma(y) = \sin y$ of Hartley integral transforms H_1, H_2, H_1 and proved several algebraic properties of the polyconvolution. Some norm inequalities for this polyconvolution operator in the function spaces and are established. As a result, a class of Toeplitz plus Hankel integral equation and a system of integral equations can be solved in a closed form.

REFERENCES

- [1] Achiezer, N.L.R., (1965), *Lectures on Approximation Theory*, Science Publishing House, Moscow.
- [2] Anh, P.K., Tuan, N. M. and Tuan, P.D., (2013) "The finite Hartley new convolutions and solvability of the integral equations with Toeplitz plus Hankel kernels", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 397(2), 537-549.
- [3] Bracewell, R. N., (1986), *The Hartley transform*, New York; Oxford University Press, Clarendon Press.
- [4] Giang, B. T., Mau, N.V. and Tuan, N.M., (2009), "Operational properties of two integral transforms of Fourier type and their convolutions", *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 65(3), 363-386.
- [5] Giang, B. T., Mau, N. V. and Tuan, N. M., (2010), "Convolutions for the Fourier transforms with geometric variables and applications", *Math Nachr.*, 283(12), 1758-1770.
- [6] Kailath, T., (1966), *Some integral equations with "nonrational" kernels*

- IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 12(4).
- [7] Kakichev, V. A., (1997), *Polyconvolution*, TPTU, Taganrog.
- [8] Khoa, N. M. and Thang, T. V., (2019), “On the polyconvolution of Hartley integral transform H_2 and integral equations”, *Journal of Integral Equations and Application*, 32 (2) 171-180.
- [9] Khoa, N. M. and Luong, D. X., (2019) “On the polyconvolution of Hartley integral Transforms H_1 , H_2 , H_1 and integral equations”, *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 16 (2), 1-10.
- [10] Thao, N. X. and Anh, H. T. V., (2014), “On the Hartley-Fourier sine Generalized Convolution”, *Math. Method Appl. Sci.*, 37 (5), 2308-2319.
- [11] Thao, N. X., Khoa, N.M. and Anh, P.T.V., (2014), “Polyconvolution and the Toeplitz plus Hankel integral equation”, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2014 (110), 1-14.
- [12] Thao, N. X., Khoa, N. M. and Anh, P.T.V., (2014), “Integral Transforms of Hartley, Fourier Cosine, Fourier Cosine polyconvolution type”, *Vietnam Journal of Mathematical Applications*, 12(2), 93-104.

Liên hệ:

PGS.TS. Nguyễn Minh Khoa

Khoa Khoa học tự nhiên, Trường Đại học Điện lực

Địa chỉ: 235 Hoàng Quốc Việt, Hà Nội

Email: khoanm@epu.edu.vn

Ngày nhận bài: 17/8/2021

Ngày gửi phản biện: 20/8/2021

Ngày duyệt đăng: 27/12/2021